

according to law.

Ordered that John Moore, Joshua Gitter, Jeffreys Cobb, and James Vaughan, or any three of them, be and are now for the purpose to appraise all the goods or chattels of Jeffreys Cobb dec'd. that may be produced to them in making the return of the same to the Clerk of this Court.

11 M. D. Butler, guardian of W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes Jiff }  
against

David Vieka & Son. Alts Deft }

15.23

5.45"

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Whereupon on the motion of the plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of £96.07 being the amount aforesaid against them for the maintenance of the ward W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes for the year 1866 by Commissioner Gitter under the provisions of an act of the General Assembly of Virginia intituled "An act to stay the collection of debts for a limited period" passed March 3<sup>rd</sup> 1866, and his costs by him in this behalf suspended.

M. D. Butler, guardian of W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes Jiff }

against

Richard J. Darby Deft }

figs

Fig. 45"

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendant has had legal notice of this motion, he was solemnly called but came not. Whereupon on the motion of the plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendant for the sum of £444.21 being the amount aforesaid against him for the maintenance of the ward W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes for the year 1866 by Commissioner Gitter under the provisions of an act of the General Assembly of Virginia intituled "An act to stay the collection of debts for a limited period" passed March 2<sup>d</sup> 1866, and his costs by him in this behalf suspended.

M. D. Butler, guardian of W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes Jiff

E. N. Williams of Motley Joyce,

Deft

15.49

5.45"

It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion, they were solemnly called but came not. Whereupon on the motion of the plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for the sum of £44.83 being the amount aforesaid against them for the maintenance of the ward W<sup>m</sup> H. Holmes for the year 1866 by Commissioner Gitter under the provisions